

Clinical Foundations

*A Patient-focused Education Program
for Respiratory Care Professionals*

**Free
Continuing Education
for Respiratory
Therapists (CRCE)**
See Page 12

Advisory Board

Janet Boehm MS, RRT
Director, Clinical Education
Youngstown State University
Youngstown, OH

Richard Branson MS, RRT, FAARC
Associate Professor of Surgery
University of Cincinnati College of Medicine
Cincinnati, OH

Richard Kallet MSc, RRT, FAARC
Clinical Projects Manager
University of California
Cardiovascular Research Institute
San Francisco, CA

Donna Hamel RRT, FAARC
Clinical Research Coordinator
Duke University Health Systems
Raleigh-Durham, NC

Neil MacIntyre MD, FAARC
Medical Director of Respiratory Services
Duke University Medical Center
Durham, NC

Tim Myers BS, RRT-NPS
Pediatric Respiratory Care
Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital
Cleveland, OH

Tim Op't Holt EdD, RRT, AEC, FAARC
Professor, Department of Respiratory Care
and Cardiopulmonary Sciences
University of Southern Alabama
Mobile, AL

Ruth Krueger Parkinson MS, RRT
Protocol/PI Coordinator
Sioux Valley Hospital
Sioux Valley, SD

Helen Sorenson MA, RRT, FAARC
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Respiratory Care
University of Texas Health Sciences Center
San Antonio, TX

www.clinicalfoundations.org

Visit Clinical Foundations online at
www.clinicalfoundations.org
Archives • Free CRCEs

Managing COPD Exacerbations in the Hospital and Home

By David Vines, MHS, RRT, FAARC and Sandra Adams, MD, MS

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) primarily results from inhalation of noxious gases which create an airflow limitation. This airflow limitation is not completely reversible. The severity of this disease is classified depending on the degree of airflow limitation that exists. The patients with moderate to severe limitations have a higher occurrence of COPD exacerbations. Acute COPD exacerbations are identified by an increase in the patient's dyspnea, cough, and production and/or purulence of their sputum. COPD exacerbations affect the patient's quality of life, morbidity, and mortality. They also place a large financial burden on the healthcare system. The treatment of an exacerbation involves the use of short-acting bronchodilators, oral or intravenous corticosteroids, antibiotics, oxygen therapy, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation, and secretion removal. Based on the patient's severity, history, and symptoms they may be treated in the home or hospital setting. The prevention of more exacerbations involves smoking cessation, vaccinations, long-acting bronchodilators, inhaled corticosteroids, patient education, and outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation. The article is a review of the current recommendations and evidence related to the care of COPD patients with acute exacerbations. To lessen the burden of this disease it is important for healthcare providers to understand these current recommendations and guidelines.

Panel Discussion: Applying Comprehensive Chronic Care to Impact Acute Exacerbations of COPD

Moderator: Sandra Adams, MD, MS

Panelists: Sidney Braman, MD, FACP, FCCP

Susan Blonshine RRT, RPFT, FAARC, AE-C

Nicola Hanania, MD, MS, FCCP, FRCP(C), FACP

COPD exacerbations represent a significant burden to both patients and healthcare providers. As with other medical conditions, preventive strategies can help avoid the need for acute care situations. An action plan that incorporates patient education, ready access to medications, and medical support can go far to prevent exacerbations, but there are challenges in implementing these measures. We have invited a panel of experts to discuss some of the advantages and barriers to the successful management of the patient with COPD.

Managing COPD Exacerbations in the Hospital and Home

By David Vines, MHS, RRT, FAARC and Sandra Adams, MD, MS

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a preventable and treatable disease characterized by airflow limitation which is not completely reversible. This progressive limitation in airflow is caused by the inhalation of noxious gas (cigarette smoking, air pollution, so forth) that results in an abnormal inflammatory response. Chronic inflammation leads to damage of lung parenchyma, narrowing of airways, and airway remodeling. The severity of COPD has been classified into 4 stages which are best defined by changes in spirometry (Table 1).

Respiratory failure is identified by a partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO₂) < 60 mm Hg with or without a partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) > 50 mm Hg. At stage II (moderate COPD), the patient's symptoms become more apparent (increased dyspnea on exertion, cough, and sputum production). By stage III (severe COPD), symptoms begin to impact the patient's quality of life (QOL). At this stage, patients become more sedentary, experience chronic fatigue, and often develop repeated exacerbations of symptoms.¹

COPD Exacerbations

Acute COPD exacerbations are identified by an increase in the patient's dyspnea, cough, sputum production and purulence beyond the day-to-day variability.¹⁻³ COPD exacerbations are associated with significant morbidity, mortality, and cost which place a burden on the patient and healthcare system. QOL can be affected for months following an exacerbation. In a large prospective study of hospitalized pa-

tients with acute COPD exacerbation and hypercapnia, only 25% of the patients reported a good or better QOL 6 months after discharge. Of the 514 patients interviewed at 6 months, 54% required help with activity of daily living and 49% reported their health status as fair or poor.⁴ Frequent exacerbations (≥ 3 per year) have been associated with worsening of health-related QOL in COPD patients with an FEV₁ 35-50% of predicted.⁵ The mortality of hospitalized patients with COPD exacerbation and hypercapnia was 11%. Their 180-day mortality and 2-year mortality rates were 33% and 49% respectively.⁴ The 1-year mortality rate associated with COPD exacerbations requiring treatment in the intensive care unit was higher (59%) in patients > 65 years of age.⁶ Higher mortality rates have also been linked to the frequency of exacerbations and exacerbations requiring hospitalization. The risk of death was 4.3 times greater for exacerbations that required hospitalization than those that did not.⁷ In 2002, the economic burden of COPD in the US was \$18 billion in direct costs and \$14.1 billion in indirect costs.¹ There is a direct relationship between increasing severity and increasing cost. In 2000, COPD exacerbations resulted in more than 725,000 hospitalizations and 1.5 million emergency department visits.⁸ The physical and fi-

nancial burden of COPD and associated exacerbations place a greater emphasis on understanding the etiology, management, and prevention of this disorder. To lessen this burden, it is important for healthcare providers to understand current recommendations regarding management and prevention of COPD exacerbations.

COPD exacerbations may be associated with infections, air pollution, patient medication compliance issues and gastroesophageal reflux. Lung infections and air pollution are the most common causes of exacerbations.⁹

The Role of Pathogens and Air Pollution

Infections can result from a bacterial or viral source. The most common bacterial organisms are *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and *Moraxella catarrhalis*. Patients with severe COPD have a greater risk of Gram negative organisms (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, etc).¹⁰ Besides declining lung function, these opportunistic organisms may be associated with prior antibiotic use. Bacteria can affect COPD symptoms in several ways. They stimulate mucus production, some inhibit ciliary beat frequency, others cause epithelial damage, and inflammation is caused by endotoxin and cytokine release. These bacteria have been identified both when the patient is clinically stable and during exacerbations, although the bacterial load is often increased in the latter situation.⁴ There is also an association between purulent sputum (i.e. green color) and a high bacterial load in acute COPD exacerbations resulting from bacterial infections.¹¹

Table 1. Classification of COPD Severity

Stage	Severity	FEV ₁ /FVC	Predicted value of FEV ₁
I	Mild	<0.70	≥80%
II	Moderate	<0.70	≥ 50% but < 80%
III	Severe	<0.70	≥ 30% but < 50%
IV	Very Severe	<0.70	< 30%, < 50% in case of chronic respiratory failure

Several viruses have been associated with COPD exacerbations, including rhinovirus, influenza virus, parainfluenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus, and adenovirus.⁴ Of these, rhinoviruses have been the most commonly isolated viruses. Viruses can result in damage to the epithelium, loss of cilia, increased mucus production, and inflammation. Viral infections can also predispose COPD patients to secondary bacterial infections. It remains controversial as to whether COPD patients are more susceptible to viruses than healthy individuals.⁴ COPD exacerbations from a viral source have been associated with an increased severity and a longer recovery time compared to other causes.¹²

Air pollution from diesel exhaust (sulphur dioxide, ozone, and nitrogen dioxide) has been associated with airway inflammation.⁴ During times of the year when air pollution is increased, 6% to 9% of the hospital admissions in COPD patients may be associated to it.¹³

Diagnosis and Classification

Patients who develop acute COPD exacerbations (increase in dyspnea, cough, and sputum production) can be managed in the hospital or at home. The GOLD guidelines recommend that the following criteria be used for hospital assessment or admission of COPD patients with acute exacerbations: increased intensity of symptoms, severe COPD, cyanosis, peripheral edema, failure to respond to initial medical treatment, significant comorbidities, frequent exacerbations, new arrhythmias, diagnostic uncertainty, older age, and insufficient home support.¹ These patients should be assessed for the severity of the exacerbation, which includes a review of their medical history, a physical examination, determination of blood gas levels, and a chest X-ray. Signs of increased severity include accessory muscle use, paradoxical breathing, central cyanosis, peripheral edema, hemodynamic instability, signs of right

Table 2. Operational classification of acute COPD exacerbation severity. (Celli 2004)
COPD exacerbation patients classified as level 1 can be managed in the home, level 2 require hospitalization, and level 3 patients develop respiratory failure.

	Level I	Level II	Level III
Clinical History			
Co-morbid conditions [#]	+	+++	+++
History of frequent exacerbations	+	+++	+++
Severity of COPD	Mild/moderate	Moderate/severe	Severe
Physical Findings			
Hemodynamic evaluation	Stable	Stable	Stable/unstable
Use, accessory respiratory muscles, tachypnea	Not present	+++	+++
Persistent symptoms after initial therapy	No	+++	+++
Diagnostic procedures			
Oxygen saturation	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arterial blood gases	No	Yes	Yes
Chest radiograph	No	Yes	Yes
Blood tests ^{##}	No	Yes	Yes
Serum drug concentrations ^{###}	If applicable	If applicable	If applicable
Sputum Gram stain and culture	No	Yes	Yes
Electrocardiogram	No	Yes	Yes

+: Unlikely to be present, ++: likely to be present, +++: very likely to be present, #: the more common co-morbid conditions associated with poor prognosis in exacerbations are congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, renal and liver failure., ##: blood tests include cell blood count, serum electrolytes, renal and liver function. ### serum drug concentrations. consider if patients are using theophylline, warfarin, carbamazepine, digoxin. consider if patient has recently been on antibiotics.

heart failure, and a change in mental status. These signs should be compared to baseline findings to determine the degree of change. In severe COPD, the most important sign is a change in mental status (i.e. reduced alertness). Spirometry measurements are not accurate during exacerbations and thus are not recommended for assessing severity. Patients who do not respond to treatment during an exacerbation should be evaluated for conditions that mimic exacerbations (e.g., pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, congestive heart failure, pneumothorax, pleural effusion, and cardiac arrhythmias).¹ Celli and colleagues recommended an operational classification of severity based on clinical history and physical findings to determine if the patient can be managed in the home or should be hospitalized.³ Exacerbated COPD patients classified as level 1 can be managed in the home; level 2 requires hospitalization, and level 3 patients develop respiratory failure. (Table 2)

Pharmacological Treatment

Managing COPD exacerbations in both home and hospital settings requires the use of bronchodilators.

Short-acting inhaled beta-2 agonists are preferred during an exacerbation. If the patient does not respond quickly to the beta-2 agonist then an anticholinergic agent should be added.^{1,3} With regard to the frequency of use of bronchodilators, current recommendations are “as needed” for treatment at home and every 2-4 hours if the patient is hospitalized. Long-acting beta-2 agonists or long-acting anticholinergics are not currently recommended during an acute exacerbation, but should be considered for maintenance therapy. Bronchodilator delivery via small-volume nebulizers (SVN) or metered-dose inhalers (MDI) with spacers are considered equivalent.¹⁴ The addition of a spacer for use with MDIs should be considered and the patient’s appropriate use of the aerosol delivery device checked if treated at home.³ The primary method of delivering short-acting bronchodilators in the hospital is through small volume nebulizers; because of both their suitability for patients who have difficulty breathing and their convenience of use.¹⁵

Glucocorticosteroids are recommended for the management of exacerbations in the hospital setting. They are recommended in the home setting

if the baseline forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV_1) is $< 50\%$ of predicted value. They have been shown to improve FEV_1 and PaO_2 .¹ Glucocorticosteroids may reduce length of hospital stays, treatment failures, rate of relapse, and FEV_1 .^{16,17} Oral prednisolone for 7-10 days at 30-40 mg is effective and safe.¹ Additional treatment increases the risk of hyperglycemia and muscle atrophy.

A systematic review and meta-analysis reported that antibiotic use reduced treatment failure by 46% and in-hospital mortality by 78%.¹⁷ Treatment failures were defined as “unchanged” or “worsened symptoms within 21 days” or “need for additional antibiotics within 7 days of treatment.” The GOLD guidelines divide their recommendations for antibiotic use into 3 groups based on the severity of the exacerbation and risk factors for poor outcome (severe COPD, ≥ 3 exacerbations per year, presence of comorbid diseases, and antibiotic use in the last 3 months).¹ Group A patients have mild exacerbations and no risk factors for a poor outcome. If patients in Group A have an increase in all 3 cardinal symptoms (dyspnea, sputum volume, sputum purulence) or have purulent sputum plus 1 of the other 2 symptoms, then antibiotics are recommended. Antibiotics recommended for use with this group are beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitors, macrolides, second or third generation cephalosporins. Group B patients have moderate exacerbations with risk factors for a poor outcome, so antibiotics are recommended. The presence of resistant organisms presents more of a concern. Antibiotics recommended for this group include those listed above with the addition of fluoroquinolones. Group C patients have severe exacerbations with risk factors for a *Pseudomonas* infection (previous isolation of *P. aeruginosa*, recent hospitalization, 4 courses of antibiotics in the last year). Antibiotics against *Pseudomonas* infections should be given to this group.

High-dose fluoroquinolones are recommended by the guidelines. The GOLD guidelines also recommend antibiotics for COPD patients with severe exacerbations requiring noninvasive or invasive mechanical ventilation.¹ Antibiotics given to COPD patients with acute exacerbations requiring mechanical ventilation resulted in significant reductions in hospital mortality and length of hospital stay compared to placebo.¹⁸

Respiratory Treatment

The presence of cyanosis is a physical finding associated with hospital assessment or admission. In this setting, supplemental oxygen is provided to correct the patient’s hypoxemia. The recommended goal of oxygen therapy is to increase PaO_2 to < 60 mm Hg or SaO_2 to $> 90\%$.¹ Supplemental oxygen is best provided with an air entrainment mask (Venturi mask) and should be started at 28% and titrated to achieve a SaO_2 of 90% (Figure 1). Supplemental oxygen may also be provided by a nasal cannula, usually starting at 2 liters per minute (LPM). The fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO_2) delivered will vary more with a nasal cannula than with an air entrainment mask. A humidified high flow nasal cannula may also be used instead of the air entrainment mask.

The percent of oxygen delivered with the high flow nasal cannula should be controlled by a blender started at 28% and titrated to achieve the SaO_2 of



Figure 1. Comfort Flo® High Flow Nasal System and the ConchaTherm® Neptune® Heated Humidifier (Teleflex Medical)

90%. It is also recommended that arterial blood gases be obtained 30-60 minutes after initiation of oxygen to document the reversal of the hypoxemia, and more importantly, the pH and $PaCO_2$ level.¹ Changes in oxygen therapy require monitoring of the patient and their pH because acute elevations in $PaCO_2$ can occur in COPD patients with CO_2 retention. Even if respiratory acidosis occurs, it is important to maintain the SaO_2 at 90-92%. Respiratory acidosis may require the use of non-invasive positive pressure ventilation (NPPV) if pH falls below 7.35. If a high flow nasal cannula (Figure 1) is used as a step between standard oxygen therapy and NPPV, pH and $PaCO_2$ level, accessory muscle use, and respiratory rate should be checked within 30 minutes. If these measures worsen, NPPV should be started without delay. More research is needed to clarify the role of the high flow nasal cannula in the management of COPD exacerbations.

NPPV is indicated in COPD patients with severe exacerbation when respiratory distress (dyspnea, increased accessory muscle use, paradoxical breathing, respiratory rate > 25 breaths/min) and respiratory acidosis (pH < 7.35 and $PaCO_2 > 45$ mm Hg) are present.^{1,3} A recent metaanalysis on the management of acute exacerbations of COPD reported that the use of NPPV reduced the risk of intubation by 65%, the length of hospital stay by 1.9 days, and hospital mortality by 55%.¹⁷ These patients must be screened for the following contraindications: respiratory arrest, cardiovascular instability (hypotension, arrhythmias, myocardial infarction), change in mental status, uncooperative patient, high risk of aspiration, copious secretion, recent gastroesophageal or facial surgery, burns, extreme obesity, craniofacial trauma, and fixed nasopharyngeal abnormalities.^{1,3,19} If the indications are present and contraindications absent, then choosing an interface and ventilator initiation are

the next steps in treatment. An oronasal or full face mask are frequently used as the interface in COPD patients with acute exacerbations and respiratory acidosis (Figure 2). The mask should be appropriately sized and fitted to the patient. The size of the mask is based on the length from the bridge of the nose to under the lower lip. Connect the mask to the noninvasive ventilator and start with low settings (expiratory positive airway pressure: 5 cm H₂O; inspiratory positive airway pressure: 10 cm H₂O). Allow the patient to hold the mask on their face while the straps are adjusted to secure the mask and prevent leaks. Caution should be taken not to overtighten the mask since this can cause a decrease in tolerance and skin breakdown. After the mask is secured and the patient is comfortable, inspiratory pressure can be slowly increased until the respiratory rate decreases or spontaneous tidal volume returns to normal. Adding heat and humidity may improve patient compliance and prevent nasal and oral dryness. Predictors of success include minimization of air leaks, lower severity of illness, decreasing respiratory rate and heart rate, and improvement in gas exchange within 1 hour of initiation.¹⁹

Invasive mechanical ventilation is indicated if patients cannot tolerate NPPV, severe respiratory distress continues, respiratory rate is > 35 breaths/min, pH is < 7.25, life-threatening hypoxemia develops, respiratory arrest occurs, worsening of mental status occurs, or a cardiovascular complication develops.^{1,3}

The use of heliox therapy may have a role in the management of severe COPD exacerbations. A retrospective analysis of 81 COPD patients with acute exacerbations and respiratory acidosis revealed that heliox may reduce intubation rate, mortality rate, and length of stay in the intensive care unit and hospital.²⁰ These findings need to be confirmed in a randomized controlled trial. In hypercapnic COPD patients with



Figure 2. BiPap®Vision® Ventilatory Support System (Philips/Respironics) and the ConchaTherm® Neptune® Heated Humidifier (Teleflex Medical)

acute exacerbations requiring noninvasive or invasive mechanical ventilation, heliox has been shown to reduce intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure (airtrapping),²¹ work of breathing,^{21,22} dyspnea and PaCO₂²³ compared to air. These findings suggest that heliox may be beneficial in COPD patients requiring high levels of inspiratory pressure or pressure support to maintain adequate ventilation during noninvasive ventilation. The addition of heliox in this group of patients may further reduce the rate of intubation compared to noninvasive ventilation alone. A randomized controlled trial of COPD exacerbation patients requiring noninvasive positive pressure ventilation compared 78:22 heliox to air and found that the hospital length of stay was shorter and cost lower in the heliox group.²⁴ Although intubation rate was lower in the heliox group (13%) versus the air group (20%), it did not reach significance. Further research is needed to clearly identify the role of heliox in managing patients with severe COPD exacerbations.

The GOLD guidelines recommend bronchial hygiene or secretion removal by manual or mechanical chest percussion and postural drainage if the patient has greater than 25 mL of sputum/day or lobar atelectasis.¹ An evidence based review concluded that chest physiotherapy (CPT) was ineffective and could be detrimental to COPD patients with

acute exacerbations.²⁵ A small randomized controlled trial in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis compared CPT and postural drainage, oscillating positive expiratory pressure, and expiration with the glottis open in the lateral position.²⁶ All patients tolerated all 3 treatments well without desaturation, and all treatments significantly increased sputum production. For the first hour after treatment, sputum production was significantly higher with oscillating positive expiratory pressure, and expiration with the glottis open in the lateral position.²⁶ If tolerated, oscillating positive expiratory pressure or expiration with the glottis open in the lateral position may be beneficial if the patient has greater than 25 mL of sputum/day.

Prevention and Long-term Management

The prevention of more exacerbations involves smoking cessation, vaccinations, long-acting bronchodilators, inhaled corticosteroids, patient education, and outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation. The Lung Health Study reported twice the decline in FEV₁ for smokers compared with sustained quitters over an 11-year period.²⁷ After 14.5 years, the all-cause mortality was 18% less in the smoking cessation group compared with those that continued to smoke.²⁸ Patients should be educated on the hazards of smoking and given help to quit. Influenza and pneumococcal vaccinations are recommended for COPD patients. Both vaccinations have been shown to reduce or prevent respiratory tract infections.²⁹⁻³¹ Long-acting beta-2 agonists, long-acting anticholinergics, and inhaled corticosteroids have been shown to reduce the frequency of acute exacerbations in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD.³² Patient education and appropriate use of aerosol delivery devices are important to assure that the medication is being delivered properly. After the patient has recovered from the

exacerbation, pulmonary rehabilitation has been shown to be effective in improving dyspnea and health-related QOL, and in reducing health-care utilization.³³

Conclusion

COPD exacerbations place a burden on patients and the healthcare system. Short-acting bronchodilators, oral or intravenous corticosteroids, and antibiotics are used both in the outpatient and hospital setting to treat acute COPD exacerbations. In more severe exacerbations, oxygen therapy and, if needed, NPPV are initiated in the hospital setting. The goal is to return the patient back to their health status prior to the exacerbation. Once the patient has recovered, preventive measures are recommended. More research is needed in this area to optimize the chronic care of these patients.

References

- GOLD. Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease—updated 2007. Available at: www.GOLD.org
- Anthonisen NR, Manfreda J, Warren CP, Hershfield ES, Harding GK, Nelson NA. Antibiotic therapy in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann Intern Med.* 1987;106:196-204.
- Celli BR, MacNee W, ATS/ERS Task Force. Standards for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with COPD: A summary of the ATS/ERS position paper. *Eur Respir J.* 2004;23:932-946.
- Connors AF Jr, Dawson NV, Thomas C, Harrell FE Jr, Desbiens N, Fulkerson WJ, Kussin P, Bellamy P, Goldman L, Knaus WA. Outcomes following acute exacerbations of severe chronic obstructive lung disease. The SUPPORT investigators (Study to Understand Prognoses and Preferences for Outcomes and Risks of Treatments). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1996;154:959-67.
- Miravittles M, Ferrer M, Pont A, et al. Effect of exacerbations on quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a 2 year follow up study. *Thorax.* 2004;59:387-95.
- Seneff MG, Wagner DP, Wagner RP, Zimmerman JE, Knaus WA. Hospital and 1-year survival of patients admitted to the intensive care units with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *JAMA.* 1995;274:1852-7.
- Soler-Cataluna JJ, Martinez-Garcia MA, Roman Sanchez P, Salcedo E, Navarro M, Ochando R. Severe acute exacerbations and mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax.* 2005;60:925-31.
- Mannino DM, Homa DM, Akinbami LJ, Ford ES, Redd SC. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease surveillance—United States, 1971-2000. *MMWR Surveill Summ.* 2002;51:1-16.
- White AJ, Gompertz S, Stockley RA. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. 6: The aetiology of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax.* 2003;58(1):73-80.
- Miravittles M, Espinosa C, Fernandez-Laso E, Martos JA, Maldonado JA, Gallego M. Relationship between bacterial flora in sputum and functional impairment in patients with acute exacerbations of COPD. Study Group Bacterial Infection in COPD. *Chest.* 1999;116:40-6.
- Stockley RA, O'Brien C, Pye A. Relationship of sputum colour to nature and outpatient management of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Chest.* 2000;117:1638-45.
- Seemungal T, Harper-Owen R, Bhowmik A, Moric I, Sanderson G, Message S, MacCallum P, Meade TW, Jeffries DJ, Johnston SL, Wedzicha JA. Respiratory viruses, symptoms, and inflammatory markers in acute exacerbations and stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2001;164:1618-23.
- Sunyer J, Saez M, Murillo C, Castellsague J, Martinez F, Anto JM. Air pollution and emergency room admission for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a 5 year study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1993;137:701-5.
- Turner MO, Patel A, Ginsburg S, FitzGerald JM. Bronchodilator delivery in acute airflow obstruction. A meta-analysis. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1997;157:1736-44.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. National clinical guideline on management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in adults in primary and secondary care. *Thorax.* 2004;59(Suppl 1):1-232.
- Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Hebert P, Dales R, Stiell IG, Ahuja J, Dickinson G, Brison R, Rowe BH, Dreyer J, Yetisir E, Cass D, Wells G. Outpatient oral prednisone after emergency treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:2618-25.
- Quon BS, Gan WQ, Sin DD. Contemporary management of acute exacerbations of COPD; A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Chest.* 2008;133:756-66.
- Nouira S, Marghli S, Belghith M, Besbes L, Elatrous S, Abroug F. Once daily oral ofloxacin in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation requiring mechanical ventilation: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2001;358:2020-5.
- Mehta S, Hill NS. Noninvasive ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2001;163:540-77.
- Gerbeaux P, Gainnier M, Boussuges A, Rakotonirina J, Nelh P, Torro D, Arnal JM, Philippe P. Use of heliox in patients with severe exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Crit Care Med.* 2001;29:2322-24.
- Gainnier M, Arnal JM, Gerbeaux P, Donati S, Papa-zian L, Sainy JM. Helium-oxygen reduces work of breathing in mechanically ventilated patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Intensive Care Med.* 2003;29:1666-70.
- Jaber S, Fodil R, Carlucci A, Boussarsar M, Pigeot J, Lemaire F, Harf A, Lofaso F, Isabey D, Brochard L. Noninvasive ventilation with Helium-Oxygen in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2000;161:1191-1200.
- Jolliet P, Tassaux D, Thouret JM, Chevrolet JC. Beneficial effects of helium: oxygen versus air: oxygen noninvasive pressure support in patients with decompensated chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Crit Care Med.* 1999;27:2422-29.
- Jolliet P, Tassaux D, Roeseler J, Burdet L, Broccard A, D'Hoore W, Borst F, Reynaert M, Schaller MD, Chevrolet JC. Helium-oxygen versus air-oxygen noninvasive pressure support in decompensated chronic obstructive diseases: A prospective, multicenter study. *Crit Care Med.* 2003;31:878-884.
- Bach PB, Brown C, Gelfand SE, McCrory DC. Management of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a summary and appraisal of published evidence. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;134:600-20.
- Bellone A, Lascioli R, Raschi S, Guzzi L, Adone R. Chest physical therapy in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis: Effectiveness of three methods. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2000;81:558-60.
- Anthonisen NR, Connett JE, Murray RP. Smoking and lung function of Lung Health Study participants after 11 years. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2002;166:675-9.
- Anthonisen NR, Skeans MA, Wise RA, Manfreda J, Kanner RE, Connett JE. The effects of smoking cessation intervention on 14.5 year mortality: a randomized clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;142:233-9.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention and control of influenza: recommendations for the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2008;57:1-62.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Update on adult immunization: recommendations of the Immunization Advisory Committee Pneumococcal Disease. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 1991;40:42-4.
- Furumoto A, Ohkusa Y, Chen M., Kawakami K., Masaki H., Sueyasu Y., Iwanaga T., Aizawa H., Nagatake T., Oishi K. Additive effect of pneumococcal vaccine and influenza vaccine on acute exacerbation in patients with chronic lung disease. *Vaccine.* 2008;26:4284-9.
- Sin DD, McAlister FA, Man SF, Anthonisen NR. Contemporary management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: scientific review. *JAMA.* 2003;290:2301-12.
- Ries AL, Bauldoff GS, Carlin BW, Casaburi R, Emery CF, Mahler DA, Make B, Rochester CL, ZuWallack R, Herreras C. Pulmonary Rehabilitation: Joint ACCP/AACVPR Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2007;131:4S-42S.

David L. Vines MHS, RRT, FAARC is Associate Professor and Interim Chair Department of Respiratory Care UT Health Science Center San Antonio. His main research interests in the area of mechanical ventilation are the theory and application of modes of ventilation, positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP), and ventilator graphics. He has taught many courses at the undergraduate level and developed curricula in management, advanced airway support, pediatric and neonatal respiratory care, and basic respiratory care equipment. David is the author of over 30 articles, abstracts, book chapters, and reviews, and has been invited to speak on respiratory care topics at facilities in Texas and across the country. He lives in San Antonio, Texas.

Sandra Adams MD, MS (See page 11 for biographical information.)

Applying Comprehensive Chronic Care to Impact Acute Exacerbations of COPD.

Roundtable Discussion

Moderator:

Sandra Adams, MD,

Associate Professor of Medicine,
University of Texas Health Sciences Center
at San Antonio

Panelists:

Sidney Braman, MD,

Professor of Medicine,
Brown University

Nicola Hanania, MD

Associate Professor of Medicine,
Baylor College of Medicine

Susan Blonshine, RRT

President/CEO TechEd Consultants

The management of COPD represents a huge burden to health-care resources. Attention is often allocated to acute disease treatment as opposed to the prevention of complications.¹ As an example, COPD management is often tailored to treating acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD), while minimal effort is expended to educate patients or health-care systems about preventing exacerbations. This may lead to inappropriate resource utilization. Therefore, a multidisciplinary organized approach to preventative COPD management is needed.

The Chronic Care Model (CCM) has been proposed as a solution to prevent complications and improve outcomes in patients with chronic diseases.² This model identifies essential elements that encourage high-quality chronic diseases care.¹⁻⁴ These elements

involve the community and health system and include self-management support, delivery system design, decision support, and clinical information systems. The model fosters productive interactions between informed patients who actively participate in their care and experienced providers, resulting in higher quality and possibly more cost-effective patient care. Systematic reviews and clinical studies have demonstrated that implementing the CCM components in patients with chronic diseases such as asthma, congestive heart failure, depression, and diabetes is associated with significantly improved outcomes.^{5,6} A systematic review of studies that implemented the chronic care model in patients with COPD demonstrated that those who received interventions with two or more CCM components had fewer unscheduled/emergency center visits, fewer hospitalizations, and reduced hospital length of stay compared with the control groups.⁷ Therefore, I would like to welcome everyone to this roundtable discussion about the potential benefits and barriers of implementing the chronic care model in patients with COPD.

Which components of a chronic care model have the highest potential to shift COPD care from management to prevention of acute exacerbations?

Blonshine: Self-management strategies and delivery system design may prove beneficial. In one study, a significant potential for decreased hospi-

tal admissions with an integrated care pathway was identified.⁸ The system requires a flexible shared-care arrangement with hospitals and primary care that can be supplemented with information technologies. Last year, Dr. Roca from the University of Barcelona presented research from the CHRONIC project which supports the shared-care model with information technologies.⁹ The University of Michigan Center for Managing Chronic Disease opened in 2007 to conduct research on how people may control the effects of their chronic illness by putting them at the center of their own disease control. When patient education, service delivery, and payment systems focus on patients' and families' efforts to manage disease effectively, disease control increases, health care costs go down, and family well-being is improved.¹⁰

Braman: There are several components of a comprehensive chronic care model that have potential to prevent the acute exacerbations of COPD. The first I would mention is in the realm of decision support. I believe it is essential to establish treatment algorithms based on well-recognized evidence-based guidelines such as the GOLD and ATS/ERS guidelines. There is growing evidence that proper pharmacologic treatment for COPD can prevent exacerbations as well as improve other aspects of this disease. For example, prospective, randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that inhaled long-acting beta agonists given twice a day and anticholinergics given once a day have been shown to

reduce exacerbation rates. In addition, a combination therapy with a long acting beta agonist and an inhaled corticosteroid may have a significant impact on exacerbation rates and reduce the use of oral corticosteroids for COPD exacerbations. Reducing the use of systemic corticosteroids is especially important in the older patient with COPD as these agents have significant side effects such as diabetes mellitus and osteoporosis. In recent years, long-acting bronchodilators and inhaled corticosteroids were used predominantly for symptom control. Current evidence from well designed clinical research studies supports their use for prevention of exacerbations of COPD, an important finding because research has also shown that quality of life diminishes significantly in patients with recurrent exacerbations. If medications were prescribed for COPD using appropriate evidence-based protocols and if these protocols were modified for use in the primary care community, exacerbation rates for COPD would likely diminish. If this occurred, patient outcomes would improve and healthcare utilization for COPD would diminish.

It has been shown that treating an exacerbation of COPD with antibiotics can prevent progression of the exacerbation and escalation of care such as hospitalization. Hence educating patients on the signs and symptoms of an exacerbation and the need for early treatment with antibiotics (and possibly corticosteroids) can prevent more serious consequences. Educational efforts such as smoking cessation and exercise programs are other educational measures that should be stressed to improve outcomes for the COPD patient.

Hanania: All the components of the chronic care models for COPD are important and complement one another. However, I believe that self-management is very important because many patients with COPD do not understand

I believe it is essential to establish treatment algorithms based on well-recognized evidence-based guidelines such as the

their disease and thus are less likely to adhere to management. Therefore, promoting self-management and education of patients will improve treatment compliance and adherence to preventative and management strategies. This will help in preventing exacerbations. In addition, altering the delivery system design towards a non-physician team approach will help to improve patient access and greater efficiency of care.

What are the benefits and potential risks of implementing an action plan for patients with COPD?

Blonshine: Action plans assist patients in early identification of symptoms, allowing for interventions and changes in the treatment plan to prevent hospitalizations. Historically, action plans have been successfully used in asthma care, and more recently in COPD. When physicians provide criteria for decision making or draw up written plans, patient symptoms decrease and quality of life improves. Watson and colleagues evaluated self-management plans in COPD over 10 years ago.¹¹ In this early study, there were significant behavior changes in the intervention group compared with controls. Although lung function did not change, the intervention group initiated treatment in response to de-

teriorating symptoms at a significantly higher rate than the control group. An economic benefit to action plans may also exist. COPD patients from 7 respiratory centers with a previous hospitalization in the year preceding enrollment were randomized to usual care and self-management education.¹² The intervention group received standardized COPD education and supervision by a case manager. Cost was calculated as prevention of hospitalization versus cost of the program and related to case load. The cost savings in the Canadian healthcare system were not realized at 14 patients per year, but were significant at a higher caseload of 50 patients (\$2,149.00). Cost savings were also realized in the Living Well with COPD program with an intervention over 2 months.¹³

Braman: Patients who are more knowledgeable about their disease feel more empowered to control outcomes. Depression and anxiety are extremely common in COPD and measures to lessen the stress of COPD are important. Having an action plan gives a patient confidence in managing his or her condition and leads to proper use of medical facilities such as emergency departments and walk-in clinics. The potential risk of an action plan is that the patient may continue to follow it despite worsening, and this may delay transfer to a hospital until the disease has advanced to a dangerous level. With appropriate education I think this latter disadvantage can be avoided in most instances.

Hanania: As it is in asthma there are advantages and disadvantages for using an action plan in COPD management. Perhaps the most important advantage is the ease of use of such a plan, especially if it is written and simplified for patients who are often elderly and have memory problems. A written action plan will keep the patient more organized with the care of their COPD. An

ideal action plan would list the current medications, their indications, their mode of use, and potential side effects. As well, it would list what medications the patient should use in the event of an exacerbation, such as an antibiotic, a steroid, or both. Action plans in asthma have been based on the use of PEFR as well as symptoms, but this is not the case in COPD. A COPD action plan has to be based on symptoms alone as the use of PEFR for detecting exacerbation is of minimal benefit. As for the potential problems of an action plan, COPD is not a homogenous disease and patients may have different phenotypes. An action plan for one patient may not work for others. Therefore, depending on an action plan alone may be risky, especially in those with poor perception of airway obstruction.

What are the main barriers to the successful comprehensive care of COPD in clinical practice?

Blonshine: The majority of patients with mild-to-moderate COPD are seen in the primary care setting. In a study of 784 practicing primary care physicians by Foster et al, 55% of the physicians were aware of COPD guidelines, but only 25% used them to guide decision making. Education regarding COPD assessment and treatment needs to be tailored to the primary care setting.¹⁴ Dr. Noreen M. Clark, director of the Center for Managing Chronic Disease at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor wrote that the “U.S. health care system spends trillions of dollars each year treating patients with chronic disease, but 99 percent of the time no one other than the patient and their loved ones manage a chronic disease.” Research at the center shows that when doctors talk to chronically ill patients and guide them in managing their conditions day to day, they need fewer doctor and emergency room visits. The research also demonstrates that counseling patients adds no

Education regarding COPD assessment and treatment needs to be tailored to the primary care setting.

extra time to each doctor visit. Typically, at a routine visit most chronically ill patients get prescriptions, procedures and treatments, but they do not get one-on-one counseling or advice from the physician who knows their medical history best.^{10, 15}

Braman: I believe there are several barriers. The first is that many patients with COPD are not identified. The use of spirometry when there are symptoms of chronic cough, sputum expectoration and shortness of breath should be encouraged in the primary care community. Secondly, even when patients are identified as having COPD, about 50% of them are not treated with prescription medications. This problem may be alleviated by encouraging the use of appropriate treatment algorithms. There are many concerns in the patient community about the use of these medications being detrimental and having significant side effects. Proper education can alleviate these concerns. Lastly, of course, the medications are expensive and many patients do not have the means to buy them. Unfortunately this has proven to be a significant barrier to comprehensive care of the COPD patient.

Hanania: I agree with the others; there are many barriers to COPD care. To categorize them:

Physician/Healthcare Professional Barriers: Many physicians lack knowledge on the appropriate management of COPD patients and either are not aware of or do not implement treatment guidelines. In addition, many do not spend time educating patients about their disease and how to implement self-management strategies. Many physicians continue to have a nihilistic attitude towards COPD and believe it is not a treatable disease.

Healthcare System Barriers: Many patients with COPD do not have appropriate access to medical care and may not have insurance coverage. Thus, their treatment is restricted to acute care and ER visits and not on preventative ambulatory care. And as Dr. Braman noted, the cost of COPD medications is prohibitively expensive.

Patient Barriers: Many patients with COPD deny having problems, continue to smoke, and are reluctant to follow medical advice. Many use their medications when needed and fail to use maintenance medications as prescribed, even when taught self-management strategies. Many patients do not know how to use their inhalers and thus receive sub-optimal pharmacologic treatment.

What strategies might be helpful to address and overcome these barriers?

Blonshine: A paradigm shift in the management of chronic disease and reimbursement strategies is required. The healthcare reimbursement system will need to change to provide adequate coverage for disease prevention and education. The system needs to reward physicians and other healthcare providers for developing and providing patients with a comprehensive self-management plan and the one-on-one counseling to implement and monitor the plan. This is a fundamental change in the healthcare

system.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ Additionally, implementation of education programs effective in improving care in the primary care setting and utilization of self-management plans for COPD patients are primary strategies.

Braman: The chronic care model has many components that can address the barriers to good care. The use of evidence-based protocols for physicians is a good example. Patient education and action plans are other useful tools. Delivery system designs can be modified to provide clinical managers who can assist patients with their needs and offer useful support to primary care providers. A central information system should be established to monitor COPD patients. These systems can alert clinicians to the need for influenza vaccination, pneumococcal vaccination, and to poor patient adherence to medication regimens. This will call for more intense intervention.

Hanania: To overcome physician/health professional barriers, continuing education is important. Disseminating the COPD guidelines and encouraging the implementation of these guidelines should be attempted. This can be in the form of CME or other educational activities. Emphasizing the role of early detection and the use of spirometry in primary care are very important measures. A few things that need to be done to overcome the healthcare system deficits include improving access to care for patients with COPD and coverage for their medications, implementing performance improvement measures for health professionals who treat COPD patients, increasing the number of pulmonary rehabilitation programs, and reimbursing such programs. To overcome patient barriers, education in self-management is of paramount importance. Discussing and implementing smoking cessation programs should be done in primary care offices. The pa-

Multiple systems can be involved but the cardiovascular, musculoskeletal and psychiatric complications are the most important to

tients should be encouraged to discuss their disease and any comorbidities with their physician at each visit.

What comorbidities are the most important to address in patients with COPD to improve outcomes and reduce AE-COPD?

Blonshine: Comorbidities such as cardiovascular disease and lung cancer are the leading causes of mortality in mild-to-moderate COPD. This may be related to the inflammatory pathway.¹⁶ Pneumonia also leads to a more sudden onset of symptoms, more severe illness, longer length of stay, and higher rates of ICU admission and death. Unfortunately, it is possible that interventions which are effective to reduce the risk of exacerbations, such as salmeterol and fluticasone, may actually increase the risk of pneumonia. From a management perspective, it is important to recognize and distinguish a COPD exacerbation from pneumonia. Patients with COPD also have musculoskeletal dysfunction. There can be multiple reasons, including inactivity, use of systemic glucocorticoids, malnutrition, and possibly systemic inflammation and oxidative

stress. COPD contributes to exercise limitation, so enrolling in a pulmonary rehabilitation program is a known preventive strategy. According to Chatila and colleagues, the evidence of COPD and comorbidities has increased, but research remains in the early stages.¹⁷ It is not yet understood whether non-pulmonary interventions that reduce the systemic inflammatory burden, improve anemia, prevent osteoporosis, and improve nutrition will alter the natural history of COPD. More studies are needed to understand the influence of various COPD treatment strategies on the comorbidities

Braman: COPD is associated with several comorbidities. Clinical depression is a comorbidity of COPD that I believe is under addressed, and depressed patients may delay seeking medical care.

Hanania: The pathophysiology of COPD has multiple components including a systemic component which is manifested by the presence of several comorbidities. It is not clear whether these comorbidities originate secondary to systemic effects of cigarette smoke exposure or secondary to systemic inflammation originating from the lung. Regardless of their origin, the impact of these comorbidities is quite tremendous and their presence increases the morbidity and mortality of COPD. Multiple systems can be involved but the cardiovascular, musculoskeletal and psychiatric complications are the most important to address in patients with COPD. A careful and comprehensive approach to COPD should include screening, diagnosis and treating these morbidities. Patients with COPD are at increased risk of cardiac complications and the risk increases with the severity of the disease. More than a third of patients with COPD die from cardiovascular causes. Osteoporosis is also a very common comorbidity which is present in a large number of patients regardless of

their pharmacologic therapy. Therefore early detection and implementation of strategies that will diminish the progressive bone loss are very important. In addition, many patients with severe COPD have cachexia which correlates with mortality from this disease. However, nutritional counseling and supplementation, while important, have not been shown to be of significant benefit. The exact effect of management of these co-morbidities on the course of COPD and on COPD exacerbation is not well studied.

References

1. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. Improving chronic illness care. [cited: 2005 Nov. 20]. Available from: <http://www.improvingchroniccare.org>
2. Wagner EH, Austin BT, Von Korff M. Improving outcomes in chronic illness. *Manag Care Q.* 1996;4:12-25.
3. Wagner EH. Chronic disease management: what will it take to improve care for chronic illness? *Eff Clin Pract.* 1998;1:2-4.
4. Wagner EH, Davis C, Schaefer J, Von Korff M, Austin B. A survey of leading chronic disease management programs: are they consistent with the literature? *Manag Care Q.* 1999;7:56-66.
5. Bodenheimer T, Wagner EH, Grumbach K. Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness: the chronic care model, Part 2. [see comment]. *JAMA.* 2002;288:1909-14.
6. Tsai AC, Morton SC, Mangione CM, Keeler EB. A meta-analysis of interventions to improve care for chronic illnesses. *Am J Manag Care.* 2005;11:478-88.
7. Adams SG, Smith PK, Allan PF, Anzueto A, Pugh JA, Cornell JE. Systematic review of the components of the chronic care model in the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:551-61.
8. Seemungal TA, Wedzicha JA. Integrated care: a new model for COPD management? *Eur Respir J.* 2006;28:4-6
9. Casas A, Troosters T, Garcia-Aymerich J, et al. Integrated care prevents hospitalisations for exacerbations in COPD patients. *Eur Respir J.* 2006; 28:1-8.
10. The Center for Managing Chronic Disease. <http://cmcd.sph.umich.edu/about.html>
11. Watson PB, Town GI, Holbrook N, Dwan C, Toop LJ, Drennan CJ. Evaluation of a self-management plan for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J.* 1997;10:1267-71.
12. Bourbeau J, Collet JB, Schwartzman K, Ducret T, Nault D, Bradley C. Economic benefits of self-management education in COPD. *Chest.* 2006;130:1704-11.
13. Bourbeau J, Julien M, Maltais F, et al. Reduction of hospital utilization in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; a disease-specific self-management intervention. *Arch Intern. Med.*

2004;163:585-91.

14. Foster JA, Yawn BP, Mazier A, Jenkins T, Rennard SI, Casebeer L. Enhancing COPD management in primary care settings. *Med Gen Med.* 2007;9:24.
15. Clark N. Detroit News, Thursday, July 3, 2008: Families don't get proper care for chronic illnesses.
16. Sin DD, Anthonisen NR, Soriano JB, et al. Mortality in COPD: role of comorbidities *Eur Respir J.* 2006; 28:1245-57.
17. Chatila WM, Thomashow BM, Minai OA, Criner GJ, Make BJ. Comorbidities in chronic obstructive

Sandra G Adams, MD, is Associate Professor of Internal Medicine at the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care, San Antonio, Texas. She is also a staff physician with the South Texas Veterans Health Care System, Audie L. Murphy Division, San Antonio. Dr. Adams has published widely and her research expertise includes COPD and associated comorbidities (anxiety, depression, osteoporosis, heart disease), AE-COPD and risk factors for relapse, interpretation of chest radiographs and chest CTs, implementing the Chronic Care Model in patients with COPD, respiratory infections and use of respiratory inhaler medications.

Susan Blonshine RRT, RPFT, FAARC, AE-C, is a registered respiratory therapist and Technical Director of the Pediatric Pulmonary Laboratory at Michigan State University. She is also cardiopulmonary diagnostic specialist and President/CEO of TechEd Consultants, Inc., a company which provides consultant, training, and education services globally. She has extensive research experience in several areas of respiratory care, serves on the AARC Clinical Guidelines Task Force, and is the AARC Representative to International Organization for Standardization Technical Committee on Clinical Laboratory Testing and In Vitro Diagnostic Test Systems. She has contributed to many AARC clinical practice guidelines and is coauthor, with Robert A. Brown of Spirometry Quality: The Essentials (1996).

Sidney Stuart Braman, MD, is Professor of Medicine and the Division Director of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine at The Alpert Medical School of Brown University since 2000. He received his medical degree from Temple University School of Medicine in Philadelphia. He is the past-president of the American College of Chest Physicians and has been a member of the board of directors of the CHEST Foundation. Dr. Braman is recipient of the ACCP GSK Distinguished Scholar in Respiratory Health Award for 2007-2010. This award was given to develop a Chronic Care Model for COPD and its co-morbidities. His research and scholarly interests are primarily related to COPD and asthma and the investigation of new approaches in the treatment of airway disease.

Nicola Hanania, MD, is an Associate Professor of Medicine in the Department of Medicine, Section of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine and Director of the Asthma Clinical Research Center at the Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, Texas. He is also Director of the Asthma Adult Clinic and Pulmonary Diagnostic Services at Ben Taub General Hospital in Houston. After receiving his degree in internal medicine from the University of Jordan, Dr. Hanania completed fellowships in internal and critical care medicine in Toronto and at Baylor College of Medicine. Dr. Hanania is a principal investigator for the American Lung Association Clinical Research Center at Baylor College of Medicine as well as principal investigator or co-investigator in several clinical trials in asthma and COPD and has published numerous articles, book chapters, and abstracts.

Clinical Foundations is a serial education program distributed free of charge to health professionals. *Clinical Foundations* is published by Saxe Healthcare Communications and is funded through an education grant from Teleflex Medical Incorporated. The goal of *Clinical Foundations: A Patient-Focused Education Program for Respiratory Care Professionals* is to present clinically- and evidence-based practices to assist the clinician in making an informed decision on what is best for his/her patient. The opinions expressed in *Clinical Foundations* are those of the authors only. Neither Saxe Healthcare Communications nor Teleflex Medical Incorporated make any warranty or representations about the accuracy or reliability of those opinions or their applicability to a particular clinical situation. Review of these materials is not a substitute for a practitioner's independent research and medical opinion. Saxe Healthcare Communications and Teleflex Medical Incorporated disclaim any responsibility or liability for such material. They shall not be liable for any direct, special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages of any kind arising from the use of this publication or the materials contained therein.

Please direct your correspondence to:

Saxe Healthcare Communications
P.O. Box 1282
Burlington, VT 05402
info@saxecommunications.com
© Saxe Communications 2009

Questions

- At which of the following stages of COPD will the patient's symptoms become more apparent?
 - Stage I (mild)
 - Stage II (moderate)
 - Stage III (severe)
 - Stage IV (very severe)
- According to a recent study, the risk of death was approximately _____ times greater for COPD exacerbations requiring hospitalization than those that did not.
 - Two
 - Three
 - Four
 - Five
- Acute COPD exacerbations are identified by an increase in the patient's:
 - Dyspnea
 - Cough
 - Sputum production
 - All of the above
- What is/are the causes of COPD exacerbation?
 - Lung infections
 - Patient medication compliance
 - Air pollution
 - All of the above
- Patient with moderate COPD has the following signs and symptoms: tachypnea, accessory muscle use, increased shortness of breath, and cyanosis. This patient is a candidate for treatment at home.
 - True
 - False
- Which of the following inhaled bronchodilators would you recommend for use during a COPD exacerbation?
 - Short-acting beta-2 agonist
 - Long-acting beta-2 agonist
 - Long-acting anticholinergics
 - All of the above
- What is the recommended dose of oral prednisolone in the management of COPD exacerbation?
 - 10 mg for 7 to 10 days
 - 20 mg for 7 to 10 days
 - 40 mg for 7 to 10 days
 - 80 mg for 7 to 10 days
- Antibiotics are indicated in which of the following COPD patients with an exacerbation?
 - The patient requires noninvasive or invasive mechanical ventilation.
 - The patient has purulent sputum and increased dyspnea.
 - The patient has increased dyspnea and sputum production.
 - A and B
- A recent metaanalysis reported that the use of NPPV in managing COPD patients with acute exacerbation reduced the risk of intubation by _____, the length of hospital stay by _____ days, and hospital mortality by _____.
 - 65%; 1.9; 55%
 - 55%; 2.9; 65%
 - 75%; 1.9; 45%
 - 45%; 2.9; 75%
- According to the GOLD guidelines, secretion removal therapy is indicated if the patient has greater than _____ mL of sputum per day.
 - 10
 - 15
 - 20
 - 25
- Besides inhaled long acting bronchodilators and inhaled corticosteroids, which of the following is/are useful in preventing COPD exacerbations?
 - Smoking cessation
 - Influenza and pneumococcal vaccinations
 - Patient education
 - All of the above
- What is the principal obstacle to the successful comprehensive care model in clinical practice?
 - Physicians' lack of knowledge of the appropriate management of COPD patients
 - Lack of access to medical treatment and lack of insurance
 - Patients' unwillingness to quit smoking
 - All of the above

This program has been approved for 2.0 contact hours of continuing education (CRCE) by the American Association for Respiratory Care (AARC). AARC is accredited as an approver of continuing education in respiratory care.

To earn credit, do the following:

- Read all the articles.
- Complete the entire post-test.
- Mark your answers clearly with an "X" in the box next to the correct answer. You can make copies.
- Complete the participant evaluation.
- Go to www.saxetesting.com to take the test online or mail or fax the post-test and evaluation forms to address below.
- To earn 2.0 CRCEs, you must achieve a score of 75% or more. If you do not pass the test you may take it over one more time.
- Your results will be sent within 4-6 weeks after forms are received.
- The fee has been waived through an unrestricted educational grant from Teleflex Medical Incorporated.
- Answer forms must be postmarked by Jan. 6, 2017.
- This test must now be taken online. Go to www.saxetesting.com/cf and log in. Upon successful completion, your certificate can be printed out immediately.** AARC members' results are automatically forwarded to the AARC for accreditation.

Please consult www.clinicalfoundations.org for current annual renewal dates.

The goal of this program is to educate healthcare professionals on the management of OSA

- What is the highest degree you have earned? Circle one. 1. Diploma 2. Associate 3. Bachelor 4. Masters 5. Doctorate
- Indicate to what degree the program met the objectives:

Objectives

Upon completion of the course, the reader was able to:

- To discuss the morbidity, mortality, and cost associated with COPD exacerbations
Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree
1 2 3 4 5 6
- To distinguish between which patients should be managed at home or hospitalized
Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree
1 2 3 4 5 6
- To list the current recommendations regarding management of acute COPD exacerbations
Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree
1 2 3 4 5 6
- To list current recommendations regarding prevention of COPD exacerbations
Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree
1 2 3 4 5 6
- Please indicate your agreement with the following statement. "The content of this course was presented without bias of any product or drug."
Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree
1 2 3 4 5 6

Answers

- | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 9 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 10 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 11 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 12 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 13 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 14 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 15 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 16 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

All tests must be taken online at
<http://www.saxetesting.com/cf/>